

Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○○○

## A taste of bivariate resultant

September 28th, 2020

Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○○○

## Overview

Bivariate resultant

The difficulty

Another determinant approach?

## Bivariate resultant

Bivariate resultant



The difficulty



Another determinant approach?



**See also September 14th lesson**

Bivariate resultant  
○○●○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○○○

$p, q \in K[x]$ , of degree  $n$  and  $m$

$$\begin{aligned}\phi : \quad K[x] \times K[x] &\rightarrow K[x] \\ (u, v) &\mapsto up + vq\end{aligned}$$

$K_n[x]$  the polynomials of degree less than  $n$

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi : \quad K_m[x] \times K_n[x] &\rightarrow K_{n+m}[x] \\ (u, v) &\mapsto up + vq\end{aligned}$$

Bivariate resultant  
○○●○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○○○

$p, q \in K[x]$ , of degree  $n$  and  $m$

$$\begin{aligned}\phi : \quad K[x] \times K[x] &\rightarrow K[x] \\ (u, v) &\mapsto up + vq\end{aligned}$$

$K_n[x]$  the polynomials of degree less than  $n$

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi : \quad K_m[x] \times K_n[x] &\rightarrow K_{n+m}[x] \\ (u, v) &\mapsto up + vq\end{aligned}$$

**Theorem:**  $\varphi$  si an isomorphism if and only if  $\gcd(p, q) = 1$

Bivariate resultant  
○○●○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○○○

$p, q \in K[x]$ , of degree  $n$  and  $m$

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi : \quad K_m[x] \times K_n[x] &\rightarrow K_{n+m}[x] \\ (u, v) &\mapsto up + vq\end{aligned}$$

Basis for  $K_m[x] \times K_n[x]$ :  $(x^i, 0)$  for  $0 \leq i < m$  and  $(0, x^j)$  for  $0 \leq j < n$  and

Basis for  $K_{n+m}[x]$ :  $x^l$  for  $0 \leq l < n + m$

## Entries in K

---

$p, q \in K[x]$

$\deg p, q = n$

**Sylvester matrix**

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} p_n & & & q_n & & \\ p_{n-1} & p_n & & q_{n-1} & q_n & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\ & & p_n & \vdots & \vdots & q_n \\ p_0 & \vdots & p_{n-1} & q_0 & \vdots & q_{n-1} \\ p_0 & & \vdots & q_0 & & \vdots \\ & \ddots & \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & p_0 & & & q_0 \end{bmatrix} \in K^{2n \times 2n}$$

→  $\text{Res}(p, q) = \det S \in K$  ?

Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○●○○○○○  
○○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○○○

```
=> p1:=randpoly(x,degree=n) mod q;
          p1 := 70 x4 + 28 x3 + 52 x2 + 69 x + 47
=>
=> p2:=randpoly(x,degree=n) mod q;
          p2 := 70 x4 + 27 x3 + 59 x2 + 51 x + 3
=>
=>
=>
> S:=Transpose(SylvesterMatrix(p1,p2,x));
          S := ⎣ 70  0  0  0  70  0  0  0
                  28  70  0  0  27  70  0  0
                  52  28  70  0  59  27  70  0
                  69  52  28  70  51  59  27  70
                  47  69  52  28  3   51  59  27
                  0   47  69  52  0   3   51  59
                  0   0   47  69  0   0   3   51
                  0   0   0   47  0   0   0   3 ⎤
```

Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○●○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○○○

```
=> p1:=randpoly(x,degree=n) mod q;  
p1 := 70 x4 + 28 x3 + 52 x2 + 69 x + 47  
=>  
=> p2:=randpoly(x,degree=n) mod q;  
p2 := 70 x4 + 27 x3 + 59 x2 + 51 x + 3  
=>  
=>  
=> S:=Transpose(SylvesterMatrix(p1,p2,x));  
S :=  
[ 70 0 0 0 70 0 0 0 |  
 28 70 0 0 27 70 0 0 |  
 52 28 70 0 59 27 70 0 |  
 69 52 28 70 51 59 27 70 |  
 47 69 52 28 3 51 59 27 |  
 0 47 69 52 0 3 51 59 |  
 0 0 47 69 0 0 3 51 |  
 0 0 0 47 0 0 0 3 ]  
=>
```

Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○●○○○  
○○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○○○

```
>
> alpha:=-S[1,1]/S[1,n+1];
          α := -1
> for j from 1 to n do S:=map(t->t mod q, ColumnOperation(S,[j,j+n],alpha)): od: S;
>
```

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cccc|cccccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 70 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 27 & 70 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 64 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 59 & 27 & 70 & 0 & 0 \\ 18 & 64 & 1 & 0 & 51 & 59 & 27 & 70 & 0 \\ 44 & 18 & 64 & 1 & 3 & 51 & 59 & 27 & 0 \\ 0 & 44 & 18 & 64 & 0 & 3 & 51 & 59 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 44 & 18 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 51 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 44 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

```
>
> p3:=Rem(p1,p2,x) mod q;
          p3 := x^3 + 64x^2 + 18x + 44
>
>
```

Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○●○○  
○○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○○○

```
>  
> alpha:=-S[1,1]/S[1,n+1];  
          α := -1  
> for j from 1 to n do S:=map(t->t mod q, ColumnOperation(S,[j,j+n],alpha)): od: S;  
  
  
  
>  
> p3:=Rem(p1,p2,x) mod q;  
          p3 :=  $x^3 + 64x^2 + 18x + 44$   
|  
>
```

Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○○●○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○○

```
>  
> alpha:=-S[2,n+2]/S[2,1];  
α := -70  
= > for j from 1 to n-1 do S:=map(t->t mod q, ColumnOperation(S,[j+n+1,j],alpha)): od: S;
```

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cccccc|ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 70 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 27 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 64 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 59 & 20 & 0 & 0 \\ 18 & 64 & 1 & 0 & 51 & 6 & 20 & 0 \\ 44 & 18 & 64 & 1 & 3 & 24 & 6 & 20 \\ 0 & 44 & 18 & 64 & 0 & 3 & 24 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 44 & 18 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 24 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 44 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{array} \right]$$

Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○○○●  
○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○

```
> alpha:=-S[3,n+2]/S[3,2];
```

$$\alpha := -20$$

```
> for j from 2 to n do S:=map(t->t mod q, ColumnOperation(S,[j+n,j],alpha)): od: S;
```

$$\left[ \begin{array}{ccccccc|ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 70 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 27 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 64 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 59 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 18 & 64 & 1 & 0 & 51 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 44 & 18 & 64 & 1 & 3 & 19 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 44 & 18 & 64 & 0 & 46 & 19 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 44 & 18 & 0 & 0 & 46 & 19 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 44 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 46 \end{array} \right]$$

```
>
```

```
>
```

```
>
```

```
> Rem(p2,p3,x) mod q;
```

$$4x^2 + 19x + 46$$

```
>
```

Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○○○○○○  
●○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○○○

## Resultant algorithm à la Euclid

$p(x)$  and  $q(x)$  of degree  $m$  and  $n$

Euclidean division:  $p(x) = u(x)q(x) + r(x)$ , with  $\deg r = d$

$$\text{Res}(p, q) = (-1)^{mn} q_n^{m-d} \text{Res}(q, r)$$

## Entries in K

---

$p, q \in K[x]$

$\deg p, q = n$

**Sylvester matrix**

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} p_n & & & q_n & & \\ p_{n-1} & p_n & & q_{n-1} & q_n & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\ & & p_n & \vdots & \vdots & q_n \\ p_0 & \vdots & p_{n-1} & q_0 & \vdots & q_{n-1} \\ p_0 & & \vdots & q_0 & & \vdots \\ & \ddots & \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & p_0 & & & q_0 \end{bmatrix} \in K^{2n \times 2n}$$

→  $\text{Res}(p, q) = \det S \in K$  ?

Knuth-Schönhage-Moenck recursive polynomial gcd:  $\tilde{O}(n)$  operations

Bivariate resultant



The difficulty



Another determinant approach?



## Elimination property

$R$  an integral domain,  $p, q \in R[x]$  non zero

**Theorem:** There exist non zero  $u$  and  $v$  in  $R[x]$  such that

$$u(x)p(x) + v(x)q(x) = \text{Res}(p, q), \quad \deg u < \deg q, \quad \deg v < \deg p$$

Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○●○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○○○

## Elimination property

$p(x, y), q(x, y)$  seen as polynomial in  $(K(x))[y]$

$$r(x) = \text{Res}_y(p(x, y), q(x, y))$$

There exist non zero  $u$  and  $v$  in  $K[x, y]$  such that

$$u(x, y)p(x, y) + v(x, y)q(x, y) = r(x)$$

**Hint:** see the relation over  $K(x)$  and multiply by a common denominator  
 $= 0$  or linear system with the Sylvester matrix and Cramers' rule

## Entries in $K[x]$

---

$$p, q \in K[x, y] \quad \deg_x p = 1, \deg_y p = n$$

$$S(x) = \begin{bmatrix} p_n(x) & & & q_n(x) & & \\ p_{n-1}(x) & p_n(x) & & & q_{n-1}(x) & q_n(x) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & p_n(x) & & \vdots & \vdots \\ p_0(x) & & p_{n-1}(x) & q_0(x) & & q_{n-1}(x) \\ & p_0(x) & & \vdots & q_0(x) & \\ & & \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & & p_0(x) & & q_0(x) \end{bmatrix} \in K[x]^{2n \times 2n}$$

$$\det S(x) ?$$

Output degree:  $2n$

$$2n \text{ points} \implies \tilde{O}(n \times n)$$

Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○●○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○○○

### Rule of thumb:

$$\text{Cost over } K[x] \leq \text{Cost over } K \times \text{Output degree}$$

(Evaluation-interpolation scheme)

Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○●

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○○○

**Rule of thumb:**

?

$$\text{Cost over } K[x] \leq \text{Cost over } K \times \text{Output degree}$$

(Evaluation-interpolation scheme)

## The difficulty

Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○●

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○○○

Tool 1: **Structure that is kept recursively**

Tool 2: **Minimal bases for mastering the degrees, recursively**

Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○●

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○○○

Tool 1: **Structure that is kept recursively**

Tool 2: **Minimal bases for mastering the degrees, recursively**

~~> **It is unknown how to combine those tools “optimally”**

However, one may split the difference ...

**Another determinant approach?**

Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○●○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○○○

## Simplified problem

Bivariate resultant  $\equiv$  determinant of a polynomial quasi-Toeplitz (Sylvester) matrix



Determinant of  $(A - x)$  for  $A$  Toeplitz

Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○●○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○○○

## Why?

$$(A - x)^{-1} = \sum_{k \geq 0} (A^{-1})^k x^k = \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{1}{x^{i+1}} A^i$$

~> **One can compute a truncated expansion fast**

then recover the determinant

Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○●○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○○○

## Why?

$$(A - x)^{-1} = \sum_{k \geq 0} (A^{-1})^k x^k = \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{1}{x^{i+1}} A^i$$

~> **One can compute a truncated expansion fast**

then recover the determinant

- ▶ Lifting approach
- ▶ Krylov subspace approach

Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○●○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○○○

## Expansion at zero: lifting

$$(A - x)^{-1} = \sum_{k \geq 0} (A^{-1})^k$$

Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○●○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○○○

## Newton-iterative system solution

$$A \in \mathbb{K}[x]^{n \times n}$$

[Lipson 1969] [Moenck, Carter 1979] [Dixon 1982]

**1. First terms of the solution**       $A^{-1}(x)b(x) = s_0(x) \pmod{x^d}$

**2. Residue**       $b(x) - A(x)s_0(x) = x^d r_1(x)$

**3. Next terms of the solution**       $A^{-1}b = s_0 + (A^{-1}r_1)x^d + \dots$

....

Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○●○○  
○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○○○

## Newton-iterative system solution

$$A \in \mathbb{K}[x]^{n \times n}$$

[Lipson 1969] [Moenck, Carter 1979] [Dixon 1982]

1. *First terms of the solution*       $A^{-1}(x)b(x) = s_0(x) \pmod{x^d}$

2. **Residue**       $b(x) - A(x)s_0(x) = x^d r_1(x)$

3. *Next terms of the solution*       $A^{-1}b = s_0 + (A^{-1}r_1)x^d + \dots$

....

Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○○●○  
○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○○○

## Newton-iterative system solution

[Lipson 1969] [Moenck, Carter 1979] [Dixon 1982]

$$A \in \mathbb{K}[x]^{n \times n}$$

1. *First terms of the solution*       $A^{-1}(x)b(x) = s_0(x) \pmod{x^d}$

2. *Residue*       $b(x) - A(x)s_0(x) = x^d r_1(x)$

3. *Next terms of the solution*       $A^{-1}b = s_0 + (A^{-1}r_1)x^d + \dots$

....

Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○○○●  
○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○○○

[Storjohann 2003]

### Lifting approach (Krylov after linearization)

Linear system  $A(x)u(x) = b(x)$ ,  $n \times n$  of degree  $d$ :

$$A(x)^{-1}b(x) = C(x) \sum_{i \geq 0} \varphi^i(b) X^{i+1}$$

for a well chosen operator  $\varphi$  (linear in  $K^{nd}$ )

Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○○○○  
●○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○○○

## Expansion at infinity: Krylov

$$(A - x)^{-1} = \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{1}{x^{i+1}} A^i$$

Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○○○○  
○●○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○○○

## Krylov-Wiedemann subspace method

---

Ex: **Characteristic polynomial** (Cayley-Hamilton theorem)  
(Generic case)

$I, A, \dots, A^{n-1}, A^n$



The relation gives

$$p_A(x) = p_0 + p_1x + \dots + p_{n-1}x^{n-1} + x^n$$



$\det A$

Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○○○○  
○○●○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○○○

## Krylov-Wiedemann subspace method

---

Ex: **Characteristic polynomial** (Cayley-Hamilton theorem)  
(Generic case)

$I, A, \dots, A^{n-1}, A^n$



The relation gives

$$p_A(x) = p_0 + p_1x + \dots + p_{n-1}x^{n-1} + x^n$$

$b, Ab, \dots, A^{n-1}b, A^n b$



$\downarrow$

$\det A$

Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○○○○  
○○○●○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○○○

## Krylov-Wiedemann subspace method

---

Ex: **Characteristic polynomial** (Cayley-Hamilton theorem)  
(Generic case)

$$I, A, \dots, A^{n-1}, \textcolor{red}{A^n}$$



The relation gives

$$p_A(x) = p_0 + p_1x + \dots + p_{n-1}x^{n-1} + x^n$$

$$b, Ab, \dots, A^{n-1}b, \textcolor{red}{A^n b}$$



$$\det A$$

$$c^t b, c^t Ab, \dots, c^t A^{n-1}b, \textcolor{red}{c^t A^n b} \quad \text{Scalar sequence}$$



Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○○○○  
○○○○●○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○○○

## Let's split the difference: only one level (non recursive)

- ▶ Use of the **structure** for computing a truncated expansion
- ▶ **Minimal approximants**
- ▶ (+ Baby steps giant steps paradigm)

Bivariate resultant

○○○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty

○○

Another determinant approach?

○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○●○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○○○

$A =$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -5 & -10 & 10 & -10 & 10 & 0 & 0 & -10 & 11 \\ 2 & 11 & -5 & -12 & 6 & 4 & -11 & 2 & -11 & 8 \\ -9 & 0 & 11 & -3 & -2 & -3 & 4 & 5 & -2 & -10 \\ -1 & 8 & -4 & 5 & 1 & 3 & 11 & 10 & -6 & 11 \\ 8 & 10 & -12 & 12 & 2 & -2 & 8 & 2 & 8 & 1 \\ 7 & -7 & 4 & 5 & 7 & -10 & -5 & -2 & -5 & -11 \\ 3 & 12 & -5 & 5 & -2 & 8 & -6 & -5 & 4 & -10 \\ 12 & -3 & -2 & 8 & 1 & 0 & -6 & 6 & -2 & -9 \\ 10 & -6 & 2 & -1 & 12 & 10 & -12 & -5 & -11 & 4 \\ 10 & 2 & 3 & -5 & 6 & 1 & 0 & -7 & -12 & -12 \end{bmatrix}$$

$A^{-1}b =$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 69591193773 \\ 203713103035 \\ 97579672962 \\ 203713103035 \\ 284823690824 \\ 203713103035 \\ 292811306465 \\ 40742620607 \\ 187605083672 \\ 203713103035 \\ -7390918941 \\ 203713103035 \\ -39531524706 \\ 203713103035 \\ -28866179508 \\ 40742620607 \\ -19372027446 \\ 40742620607 \\ 35285114890 \\ 203713103035 \end{bmatrix}$$

Determinant ?

Cramer's rule:  $\det A = -203713103035$



Bivariate resultant

○○○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty

○○

Another determinant approach?

○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○●○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○○○

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -5 & -10 & 10 & -10 & 10 & 0 & 0 & -10 & 11 \\ 2 & 11 & -5 & -12 & 6 & 4 & -11 & 2 & -11 & 8 \\ -9 & 0 & 11 & -3 & -2 & -3 & 4 & 5 & -2 & -10 \\ -1 & 8 & -4 & 5 & 1 & 3 & 11 & 10 & -6 & 11 \\ 8 & 10 & -12 & 12 & 2 & -2 & 8 & 2 & 8 & 1 \\ 7 & -7 & 4 & 5 & 7 & -10 & -5 & -2 & -5 & -11 \\ 3 & 12 & -5 & 5 & -2 & 8 & -6 & -5 & 4 & -10 \\ 12 & -3 & -2 & 8 & 1 & 0 & -6 & 6 & -2 & -9 \\ 10 & -6 & 2 & -1 & 12 & 10 & -12 & -5 & -11 & 4 \\ 10 & 2 & 3 & -5 & 6 & 1 & 0 & -7 & -12 & -12 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A^{-1}b = \begin{bmatrix} 69591193773 \\ 203713103035 \\ 97579672962 \\ 203713103035 \\ 284823690824 \\ 203713103035 \\ 292811306465 \\ 40742620607 \\ 187605083672 \\ 203713103035 \\ -7390918941 \\ 203713103035 \\ -39531524706 \\ 203713103035 \\ -28866179508 \\ 40742620607 \\ -19372027446 \\ 40742620607 \\ 35285114890 \\ 203713103035 \end{bmatrix}$$

A =

$A^{-1}b =$

Determinant ?

Cramer's rule:  $\det A = -203713103035$

What if solving a linear system has prohibitive quadratic cost ?



## Bivariate resultant

## The difficulty

## Another determinant approach?

A 2x10 grid of 20 small circles, arranged in two rows of ten.

88

### *A few entries of a few solutions*

$$A^{-1} =$$

## Bivariate resultant

### The difficulty

### Another determinant approach?

○○○○○○○○○○

○○○○○○○○

8

### *A few entries of a few solutions*

$$A^{-1} =$$

## Step 1.

$$X^T A^{-1} Y = P Q^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 64 & 47 & -24 & 122 \\ 20 & 36 & -36 & 140 \\ 44 & 66 & -38 & 213 \\ -13 & 18 & -3 & 66 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 36 & 183 & 785 \\ 363 & 319 & 379 & -41 \\ -116 & -299 & 672 & -195 \\ 382 & -387 & 0 & 344 \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$

Bivariate resultant

○○○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty

○○

Another determinant approach?

○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○●  
○○○○○○○○○○○○

*A few entries of a few solutions* $A^{-1} =$ 

179811089	-4011923114	-4000111111	4072000000	-3043111122	3044111220	3044111220	179811089	179811089	179811089	179811089	179811089	179811089	179811089
2005423379	119722181116	40239015442	309873K314	4050847244	37703111403	1600975448	20047156022	20047156022	1600975448	1600975448	1600975448	1600975448	1600975448
3130867739	203713103000	-203713103000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000
-3130867739	203713103000	-203713103000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000
-3130867739	203713103000	-203713103000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000
7-2005423379	210000000000	-2005423379	2005423379	-3130867739	3130867739	3130867739	3130867739	3130867739	3130867739	3130867739	3130867739	3130867739	3130867739
420508468	476011089	40239015442	309873K314	37703111403	1600975448	20047156022	20047156022	1600975448	1600975448	1600975448	1600975448	1600975448	1600975448
3130867739	203713103000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000
3130867739	203713103000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000
3130867739	203713103000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000
3130867739	203713103000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000
3130867739	203713103000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000
3130867739	203713103000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000
3130867739	203713103000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000	307130000000

179811089	179811089	179811089	179811089	179811089	179811089	179811089	179811089	179811089	179811089	179811089	179811089	179811089	179811089
1600975448	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022
1600975448	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022
1600975448	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022
1600975448	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022	20047156022

Step 1.  $X^T A^{-1} Y = PQ^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 64 & 47 & -24 & 122 \\ 20 & 36 & -36 & 140 \\ 44 & 66 & -38 & 213 \\ -13 & 18 & -3 & 66 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 36 & 183 & 785 \\ 363 & 319 & 379 & -41 \\ -116 & -299 & 672 & -195 \\ 382 & -387 & 0 & 344 \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$

Step 2.  $\det Q = \det A = -20371310335$

Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○  
●○○○○○○○○○○○

Characteristic polynomial  
of

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 4 & 4 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Bivariate resultant

○○○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty

○○

Another determinant approach?

○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○  
○●○○○○○○○○○○

Characteristic polynomial  
of

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 4 & 4 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} x - 4 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ -3 & x - 1 & -4 & -2 \\ -4 & -4 & x - 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & x - 2 \end{bmatrix} n \times n$$

Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○  
○○●○○○○○○○○○○

Characteristic polynomial  
of

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 4 & 4 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} x - 4 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ -3 & x - 1 & -4 & -2 \\ -4 & -4 & x - 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & x - 2 \end{bmatrix} n \times n$$

Plan A  
**Krylov**



$$\det [x^4 - 9x^3 + 5x^2 + 48x - 96] \quad 1 \times 1$$

Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○●○○○○○○○○

Characteristic polynomial  
of

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 4 & 4 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} x - 4 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ -3 & x - 1 & -4 & -2 \\ -4 & -4 & x - 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & x - 2 \end{bmatrix} n \times n$$



Plan K  
**Block Krylov**

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} x^2 + 17/2x - 8 & \frac{71x}{4} - 20 \\ -9x - 4 & x^2 - \frac{35x}{2} + 2 \end{bmatrix} n^\sigma \times n^\sigma$$



$$\det [x^4 - 9x^3 + 5x^2 + 48x - 96] \quad 1 \times 1$$

Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○●○○○○○○○○

## Block Krylov

$$C, B \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times m}$$

$$C^t B, C^t A B, \dots, C^t A^{\delta-1} B, \textcolor{red}{C^t A^\delta B}$$



Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○●○○○○○○

## Block Krylov

$$C, B \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times m}$$

$$C^t B, C^t A B, \dots, C^t A^{\delta-1} B, \textcolor{red}{C^t A^\delta B}$$



$$(C^t A^i B) \cdot \textcolor{red}{M_0} + (C^t A^{i+1} B) \cdot \textcolor{red}{M_1} + \dots + (C^t A^{i+\delta} B) \cdot \textcolor{red}{M_\delta}$$

$$M(x)?$$

→ Minimal approximants

Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○●○○○○○

## Block Krylov

$$C, B \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times m}$$

$$C^t B, C^t A B, \dots, C^t A^{\delta-1} B, \textcolor{red}{C^t A^\delta B}$$



## Matrix fraction reconstruction

$$\sum_i (C^t A^i B) x^{-i-1} = N(x)/M(x)$$

Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○●○○○○

## Baby steps / giant steps

Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○●○○○○

$$A(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]^{n \times n} \quad c^t b, c^t A b, \dots, c^t A^{n-1} b, \textcolor{red}{c^t A^n b} \quad ?$$



Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○●○○○

$$A(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]^{n \times n} \quad c^t b, c^t A b, \dots, c^t A^{n-1} b, \textcolor{red}{c^t A^n b} \quad ?$$



**Baby steps**    1.1.  $A(x)^i b, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \sqrt{n}$

1.2.  $P(x) = A(x)^{\sqrt{n}}$

Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○●○○

$$A(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]^{n \times n} \quad c^t b, c^t A b, \dots, c^t A^{n-1} b, \textcolor{red}{c^t A^n b} \quad ?$$



**Baby steps**    1.1.  $A(x)^i b, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \sqrt{n}$

1.2.  $P(x) = A(x)^{\sqrt{n}}$

**Giant steps**    1.3.  $c^t P(x)^j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 2\sqrt{n}$

1.4.  $2n$  products  $\implies \alpha_k = c^t A(x)^k b$

**Relation**    2. Find the linear recurrence relation for the  $\alpha_k$

Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○●○

**Resultant**  
of bivariate polynomials  
 $\deg_x = 1, \deg_y = n$   
Sylvester of degree one

$$\tilde{O}(n^2) \longrightarrow \tilde{O}(n^{1.58}) \quad 2 - 1/\omega$$

**Modular  
composition**  
 $\deg g = n$

$$\tilde{O}(n^{1.63}) \longrightarrow \tilde{O}(n^{1.46}) \quad (\omega + 2)/3$$

**Truncated power  
series composition**  
 $g = y^n$

$$\tilde{O}(n^{1.5}) \longrightarrow \tilde{O}(n^{1.46}) \quad (\omega + 2)/3$$

Bivariate resultant  
○○○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○

The difficulty  
○○

Another determinant approach?  
○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○  
○○○○○○○○○○●

## Open problem

$\deg_x = 1, \deg_y = n$ , resultant algorithm in  $\tilde{O}(M(n))$  arithmetic operations?